Free-Air and Deformation-Induced Topographic Effects (FAE and DITE) in volcano geodesy

10-13 sept 2019 Repiská, Demänová

Vajda, P., Zahorec, P., Papčo, J., Bilčík, D., Carbone, D., Greco, F., Cantarero, M.

Earth Sci Inst SAS, Bratislava Dept Geod SUT in Bratislava INGV Catania, Osservatorio Etneo

VERTICAL GRADIENT OF GRAVITY (VGG)

DECOMPOSITION OF VGG

 $\Gamma(h,\Omega) = \Gamma_N(h,\Omega) + \delta\Gamma_T(h,\Omega) + \delta\Gamma_G(h,\Omega) , \quad \Gamma_N(h,\Omega) \approx \Gamma_0, \text{ where } \Gamma_0 = -308.6 \,\mu\text{Gal/m}$

normal topo-masses subsurface ellipsoid const ref density density anomalies $^{\prime}$ globally better than 0.5 μ Gal/m

PREDICTION OF VGG FIELD (VGG VALUES)

 $\Gamma(h, \Omega) \approx \Gamma_p(h, \Omega)$, where $\Gamma_p(h, \Omega) = \Gamma_0 + \delta \Gamma_T(h, \Omega)$.

Neglecting the effect of geology (contribution of subsurface density anomalies) MODELLING THE CONTRIBUTION OF TOPO-MASSES TO VGG

- □ High resolution (a few meters) high accuracy (10 cm) DEM (DTM, DMR)
- Correct choice of representative constant reference topo-density
- Accurate numerical volumetric Newtonian integration (e.g., Toposk software)



TOPOSK Computing topo-attraction

topo-contribution to VGG = difference of topo-attractions at (1.25—0.25 m) / 1m

Inner zone (0-250 m)
 planar, polyhedra, boundary treatment





Intermediate zone (250-5240 m) planar, triangular prisms/cylinder segments 30x30 m

Outer zones (5.24-28.8 km) and (28.8-166.73 km) spherical, spherical layer segments, SRTM grids 3x3 and 30x30 arcsec

PREDICTED VGG FIELD SIGNIFICANTLY DEVIATES FROM FAG

Predicted VGG field CVC of Tenerife Canary islands

Based on LiDARderived DEM (5m)



up to 90 % in regions of rugged topo relief

High values (in abs sense) at convex low values at concave relief features VGG field deviation from FAG is not only high in amplitude, it is spatially very sharp

Predicted VGG field CVC of Tenerife Canary islands





FIELD ETNA Valle del Bove and summit

125 to 525 μGal/m



IN SITU VERIFICATION OF PREDICTED VGG

VGG OBSERVATION

with relative gravimeter in tower mode





TEIDE TENERIFE CANARY ISLANDS JUNE 2016













ETNA SICILI ITALY JULY 2018













IMPROVEMENTS TO VGG PREDICTION

 correction for anthropic structures (at monitoring networks)
 local in-situ DEM improvement (drone-borne photogrammetry)





ETNA VOLCANO MONITORING NETWORK:PIZZI DENERI OBSERVATORYREFINED VGG PREDICTION AND IN SITU VERIFICATION(PDN 2847m)

□ drone-flown photogrammetry (res **50 cm**, vert.acc. **10 cm**) to improve the inner-most zone DEM for topographic contribution to VGG computation



IN SITU VERIFICATION OF PREDICTED VGG FIELD



ETNA (SICILY) relative points absolute points field points



FREE AIR EFFECT (FAE) FREE AIR CORRECTION

constant theoretical (normal) FREE AIR GRADIENT (FAG) versus VGG

Microgravimetric surveys: Diff btw FAE and nFAE



FAE

	ST INTER COM
	FAE is based on VGG
	nFAE is based on constant normal FAG
	FAE can be approximated by using topographically predicted VGG
	Correction for gravimeter sensor height above ground
-	Illustrated is difference between FAE and nFAE for sensor height 25 cm on Etna
•	Systematic error of +45 to -55 mjuGal
	FREE AIR

CORRECTION

4D MICROGRAVIMETRY

FAG, VGG, FAE, DIE and DITE

VOLCANO GEODESY

surface deformation and spatiotemporal gravity changes



Deformation induced effects (DIE) in volcano gravimetry DIE = FAE + surface and internal deformatons Bouguer effect (Battaglia et al. 2008)

 $\Delta g_{\text{def}}(P) = \Gamma(P)\Delta h(P) + \Delta g_{\text{D}}(P) .$

FAE approximation of DIE

 $\Delta g_{\mathrm{def}}(P) \approx \Gamma(P) \Delta h(P) \, .$

Acceptable under specific conditions when surface and internal deformations effects cancel out

DITE: most accurate numerical realization (Vajda et al. 2019, Surveys in Geophysics)

 $\Delta g^{\text{DITE}}(P) \approx \Gamma_0 \Delta h(P) + \left[a^{\text{T*}}(P^*) - a^{\text{T}}(P)\right],$ Requires vertices of known in a

Requires vertical displacement field known in areal form – otherwise:

nFAE approximation of DITE

Bouguer approximation of DITE

 $\Delta g^{\text{DITE}}(P) \approx \Gamma_0 \Delta h(P)$,

 $\Delta g^{\text{DITE}}(P) \approx BCFAG * \Delta h(P)$, where $BCFAG = \Gamma_0 + 2\pi G \varrho_0$

DITE CONCLUSIONS

The nature of DITE varies between normal-free-air-like and Bouguer-like depending on the shape and horizontal extent of the deformation field and on the shape of the relief over which it is exposed (in most cases it is closer to Bouguer-like)
 The most accurate numerical realization of DITE is:

 $\Delta g^{\mathrm{DITE}}(P) \approx \varGamma_0 \Delta h(P) + \left[a^{\mathrm{T*}}(P^*) - a^{\mathrm{T}}(P)\right],$

However, it requires the deformation field to be known in areal form
 if vertical displacements are known only on benchmarks, two approximations of DITE are available: BCFAG-DITE and nFAE-DITE
 nFAE-DITE approximates DITE well only in special cases of very narrow spiky deformation fields over cone-shaped steeper relief
 in all other cases BCFAG-DITE approximates DITE better

